

A Comparative Study of I.Q. and Creativity of Secondary School Students in North 24Parganas District of West Bengal

Koushik Halder¹, Dr. Debashis Dhar², Dr. Udayaditya Bhattacharya³

¹Research Scholar, Department of Education, Jharkhand Rai University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India ²Principal, Sanjay College of Education, Vill + P.O. – Brindabanchak, Purba Medinipur, West Bengal, Pin-721641, India

³*Ex-principle, G.C.M.College of Educaion, New Barrackpur, West Bengal, India*

Date of Submission: 25-12-2020

Date of Acceptance: 03-01-2021

ABSTRACT -- An IQ is a cumulative score obtained from a sequence of standardized assessments or subtests to measure human intelligence. Historically, IQ was a score obtained by dividing an individual's mental age score by the chronological age of the person, all expressed in terms of years and months, obtained by conducting an intelligence test. There are a number of independently conducted IQ assessments in use in the English-speaking world. The most widely used individual IQ test sequence is the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) for adults and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC) for school-age test-takers.

Creativity means thinking to come up with original ideas in a new way. It expands our knowledge and experience from known to new. Their ability to organize perceptions and emotions is one of fluency, flexibility, originality, divergent thinking. Quality training and extensive creative expressions inspire and encourage creative thinking to make a substantial contribution to society. Therefore, the educational process should aim to develop children's creative skills.

The relationship between intelligence and creativity has been subject to empirical research for decades. Nevertheless, there is yet no consensus on how these constructs are related. One of the most prominent notions concerning the interplay between intelligence and creativity is the threshold hypothesis, which assumes that above-average intelligence represents a necessary condition for high-level creativity.

In this paper the research was performed on one hundred students (both male and female) of Class X, of rural and urban populations due representation. The study examined the IQ and Creativity of secondary school students in the North 24Parganas Districts of West Bengal. Two rural and two urban secondary schools were selected, and basic random sampling methods were used to choose students. In this paper researcher found that there exists no significant difference in the mean score in the I.Q. and Creativity of total students (rural + urban) students of secondary school students (total sample) of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

Key-words: IQ, Creativity, Secondary School Students.

I. INTRODUCTION:

IQ is an acronym for Intelligence Quotient. The IQ is a measurement of your intelligence and is expressed in a number. The IQ of a person can be determined by making an intelligence test taken by the participant. The average IQ is 100. You are smarter than the average person if you receive a score greater than100, and a lower score means you are somewhat less smart. Historically, IQ was a score obtained by dividing an individual's mental age score by the chronological age of the person, all expressed in terms of years and months, obtained by conducting an intelligence test. There are a number of independently conducted Ю assessments in use in the English-speaking world. The most widely used individual IQ test sequence is the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) for adults and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC) for school-age test-takers.

IQ scales are normally modified. IQ scales are normally modified. Although one standard deviation is 15 points, and two SDs are 30 points, and so on, this does not suggest that IQ-related mental ability is linear, so IQ 50 means half of IQ 100's cognitive ability. IQ points are not percentage points in particular. IQ scales are normally modified. Although one standard deviation is 15



points, and two SDs are 30 points, and so on, this does not suggest that IQ-related mental ability is linear, so IQ 50 means half of IQ 100's cognitive ability. IQ points are not percentage points in particular. IQ scores can differ to some degree for the same person on different IQ tests, so a person does not always belong to the same IQ score range each time the person is tested. While certain types of intelligence are commonly assumed to be assessed by IQ tests, they do not act as a reliable indicator of wider concepts of human intelligence, including imagination and social intelligence.

Creativity means thinking to come up with original ideas in a new way. It expands our knowledge and experience from known to new. Their ability to organize perceptions and emotions is one of fluency, flexibility, originality, divergent thinking. You must be able to see things in a different way or from another perspective in order for you to be creative. You need to be able to generate new possibilities or new alternatives, among other things. Creativity tests measure not only the number of alternatives that people can generate, but also the uniqueness of those alternatives. The ability to generate alternatives or to see things in a unique way does not arise from change: it is linked to other more fundamental qualities of thought, such as flexibility, tolerance of ambiguity or unpredictability, and enjoyment of things Quality training previously unknown. and extensive creative expressions inspire and encourage creative thinking to make a substantial contribution to society. Therefore, the educational process should aim to develop children's creative skills."Creativity is the process of bringing something new into being. Creativity requires passion and commitment. It brings to our awareness what was previously hidden and points to new life. The experience is one of heightened consciousness: ecstasy." – Rollo May, The Courage to Create Some Characteristics of the creative personality are: 1. Creative people have a lot of energy, but they are also often calm and relaxed. 2. Creative people have a combination of playfulness and discipline or responsibility. 3. Creative people alternate between fantasy and imagination on one end and a core sense of reality on the other. 4. Creative people are at the same time remarkably humble and proud. 5. Creative people are generally considered rebellious and independent. A 2012 Adobe Creativity Study shows that 8 in 10 people feel that unlocking creativity is critical to economic growth, and almost two-thirds of respondents feel that creativity is valuable to society, yet a striking minority-only 1 in 4-believe that they live up to their own

creative potential. Creativity is seen in educational settings as a special approach to learning that involves both "creative" teaching and "creative" learning strategies. These strategies facilitate learning and are at the same time, the result of appropriate teaching and learning. The relationship between intelligence and creativity has been subject to empirical research for decades. Nevertheless, there is yet no consensus on how these constructs are related. One of the most prominent notions concerning the interplay between intelligence and creativity is the threshold hypothesis, which assumes that above-average intelligence represents a necessary condition for high-level creativity.

In this paper researcher found that there exists no significant difference in the mean score in the I.Q. and Creativity of total students (rural + urban) students of secondary school students (total sample) of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

II. OBJECTIVES:

- i) To study the significance of the mean differences in the I.Q. and Creativity of total students (both rural and urban) of secondary school students (total sample) of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.
- ii) To study the significance of the mean differences in the I.Q. of rural (male and female) students and urban (male and female) students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.
- iii) To study the significance of the mean differences in the Creativity of rural (male and female) students and urban (male and female) students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.
- iv) To study the significance of the mean differences in the I.Q. and Creativity of rural (male and female) students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.
- v) To study the significance of the mean differences in the I.Q. and Creativity of urban (male and female) students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

III. HYPOTHESIS:

 H_01 : There exists no significant difference in the mean differences in the I.Q. and Creativity of total students (rural + urban) students of secondary school students (total sample) of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.



 H_02 : There exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. between rural students and urban students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

 H_03 : There exists no significant difference in the mean scores of Creativity between rural students and urban students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

 H_04 : There exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and Creativity between rural students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

 H_05 : There exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and Creativity between urban students of secondary school students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

IV. METHODOLOGY:

4.1 Population: Secondary school students of West Bengal Board of Secondary Education who are studying in class X were considered as population for this study.

4.2 Sample: Total number of students in the study was one hundred. Fifty (50) boys and fifty (50) girls students selected randomly from two rural and two urban secondary schools of North 24parganas district of West Bengal were chosen as sample.

4.3 Method: Experimental method is used of this study.

4.4 Variables: Mixed Type Group Test of Intelligence (MGTI-M) and Creativity test.

Tools: Intelligence test: This test has been constructed on the lines of Wechsler-Bellevue Scale of Intelligence developed by Dr. P.N.Mehrotra. Mixed Type Group Test of Intelligence (MGTI-M) is used. It includes verbal and non-verbal test. Verbal test includes five sub tests (analogy, number series, classification, vocabulary, and reasoning) and non-verbal test also includes five sub-tests (analogy, arrangement, classification, digit symbols and part fitting). Ten minutes are allotted for solving each test and the whole test within 20 minutes time, total number are 100 (50 for verbal and 50 for non-verbal).

Creativity test: Verbal and non-verbal creativity test developed by Dr. B. K. Passi is used. This includes six sub-tests, i.e. (i) the seeing problems test, (ii) the unusual tests, (iii) the consequences test, (iv) the test of inquisitiveness, (v) the square puzzle test, and (vi) the blocks test of creativity. The first three tests are verbal and last three tests are non-verbal in nature. (Non-verbal performance materials are 19 Block of 1", 12 Block of ¹/₂", 1 Wooden Board, 5 Identical Right Angled triangles for Plastic and 5 Identical Quadrilaterals for Plastic). It measures three components of creativity, fluency, flexibility, and originality.

4.6 Techniques:

Statistical Analysis (Mean, SD, Std. Error, 't' value and graphical re-presentations are used.

4.7 Result and Interpretation of data: As for the mean, the standard deviation, Std. Error and t-test method, the data collected was evaluated in the above-mentioned inventories.

 $\frac{\text{Table} - 1}{2}$

I.Q. Score of All Students

CN = Stands for Code Number of students, TM= Stands for total marks

I.Q. Sco	ore			Creativ	vity			
Rural m	ale + female	Urban	male +	Rural n	Rural male + female		Urban	male +
		female					female	
CN	TM	CN	TM	CN	TM		CN	TM
01	63	26	63	01	61		26	62
02	65	27	66	02	63		27	65
03	66	28	64	03	65		28	68
04	64	29	69	04	62		29	73
05	61	30	75	05	59		30	75
06	63	31	73	06	64		31	70
07	62	32	71	07	60		32	69
08	61	33	68	08	61		33	67
09	62	34	69	09	60		34	72
10	66	35	73	10	68		35	69
11	67	36	66	11	67		36	67

| Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 3



12	69		37	69	12	70	37	72
13	62		38	67	13	63	38	65
14	63		39	63	14	61	39	61
15	61		40	72	15	64	40	68
16	64		41	70	16	60	41	66
17	71		42	67	17	65	42	66
18	59	-	43	62	18	58	43	63
19	66		44	63	19	62	44	65
20	61		45	62	20	61	45	59
21	62		46	61	21	60	46	59
22	66	-	47	66	22	63	47	62
23	67		48	69	23	66	48	65
24	69		49	65	24	70	49	68
25	65		50	63	25	68	50	64

Table – 2

Showing the result of mean score, standard deviation, t-value and level of significance between I.Q. and Creativity of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal (total sample)

N	Mean	SD	Std. Error	't' value	Level of Significance	
50	65.62	3.73	0.79	1.02	Not	
50	64.82	4.09	0.78	1.02	Significant	
	N 50 50	N Mean 50 65.62 50 64.82	N Mean SD 50 65.62 3.73 50 64.82 4.09	N Mean SD Std. Error 50 65.62 3.73 0.78 50 64.82 4.09 0.78	N Mean SD Std. Error 't' value 50 65.62 3.73 0.78 1.02 50 64.82 4.09 0.78 1.02	

ddf = 98 Table value = 1.66 at 0.05 level 2.37 at 0.01 level

<u>Interpretation –</u>

Table - 2 shows that the mean scores on I.Q. and Creativity of total students (rural + urban) were 65.62 and 64.82 with standard deviation of 3.73 and 4.09 respectively. When the t-test was applied to compare the mean scores of both the groups, it was found that the calculated t-value 1.02 was lower than the table value at 0.05 levels and

0.01 levels of significance. Thus, the difference between the two means is statistically not significant.

Therefore, the hypothesis that there exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and Creativity of total students (rural + urban) of secondary school students (total sample) of North 24parganas district of West Bengal is accepted.



"Fig." – 1

Mean differences in the I.Q. of male and female (both rural and urban) students of secondary school students (total sample) of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.



Table – 3	

Showing the result of Mean score, Standard deviation, t-value and level of significance of the I.Q. between rural students (male + female) and urban students (male + female) of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal

Groups	N	Mean	SD	Std. Error	't' value	Level of Significance
Rural	25	64.20	2.99	0.98	2.89	Significant
Urban	25	67.04	3.92			

df = 48 Table value = 1.68 at 0.05 level 2.41 at 0.01 level

Interpretation -

Table - 3 shows that the mean scores on I.Q. of rural students and urban students were 64.20 and 67.04 with standard deviation of 2.99 and 3.92 respectively. The 't' value is 2.89 which is greater than the table value at 0.05 levels and 0.01 levels of

significance. Thus, the difference between the two means is statistically significant.

Therefore, the hypothesis that there exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. between rural students and urban students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal is not accepted.



"Fig." − 2

Mean score, Standard deviation, t-value and level of significance of the I.Q. between rural students and urban students of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal



Table –	4

Showing the result of Mean score, Standard deviation, t-value and level of significance of the Creativity between rural students (male + female) and urban students (male + female) of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal

Groups	N	Mean	SD	Std. Error	't' value	Level of Significance
Rural	25	63.24	3.39	1.07	2.95	Significant
Urban	25	66.4	4.17			

df = 48, Table value = 1.68 at 0.05 level, 2.41 at 0.01 level

<u>Interpretation –</u>

Table - 4 shows that the mean scores on Creativity of rural students and urban students were 63.24 and 66.40 with standard deviation of 3.39 and 4.17 respectively. The 't' value is 2.95 which is greater than the table value at 0.05 levels and 0.01 levels of significance. Thus, the difference between the two means is statistically significant.

Therefore, the hypothesis that there exists no significant difference in the mean scores of Creativity between rural students and urban students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal is not accepted.



"Fig." – 3

Mean score, Standard deviation, t-value and level of significance of the Creativity between rural students and urban students of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal



Shov Cre	wing the result of ativity between ru	Mean scoi iral studer	re, Standard o nts (male + fer distric	deviation, t-va male) of secor ets of West Be	llue and level o ndary school st ngal	of significa audents in l	nce of the I.Q. an North 24Pargana
	Groups	N	Mean	SD	Std. Error	't' value	Level of Significance
	I.Q. (Rural students)	25	64.20	2.99	0.06	,	Net
Ci	Creativity	25	63.24	3.39	0.90	1	Significant

<u>Table – 5</u>

df = 48, Table value = 1.68 at 0.05 level, 2.41 at 0.01 level

<u>Interpretation –</u>

(Rural students)

Table - 5 shows that the mean scores on I.Q. of rural students were 64.20 and creativity were 63.24 with standard deviation of 2.99 and 3.39 respectively. The 't' value is 0.96 which is lower than the table value at 0.05 levels and 0.01 levels of significance.

Thus, the difference between the two means is statistically not significant.

Therefore, the hypothesis that there exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and creativity between rural students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal is accepted.



"Fig." – 4

Mean score, Standard deviation, t-value and level of significance of the I.Q. and Creativity between rural students (male + female) of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal



Table –	6

Showing the result of Mean score, Standard deviation, t-value and level of significance of the I.Q. and Creativity between urban students (male + female) of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal

Groups	N	Mean	SD	Std. Error	't' value	Level of Significance
Urban I.Q.	25	67.04	3.92	1.14	0.88	Not Significant
Urban Creativity	25	66.4	4.17			

df = 48, Table value = 1.68 at 0.05 level, 2.41 at 0.01 level

<u>Interpretation –</u>

Table - 6 shows that the mean scores on I.Q. of rural students were 67.04 and creativity were 66.40 with standard deviation of 3.92 and 4.17 respectively. The 't' value is 1.14 which is lower than the table value at 0.05 levels and 0.01 levels of significance.

Thus, the difference between the two means is statistically not significant.

Therefore, the hypothesis that there exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and creativity between urban students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal is accepted.





Mean score, Standard deviation, t-value and level of significance of the I.Q. and Creativity between urban students (male + female) of secondary school students in North 24Parganas districts of West Bengal

V. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION OF THE STUDY:

From the Interpretation of the data which are represented by different Tables and Figures, it is concluded that -

i) There exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and Creativity of total students

(rural + urban) of secondary school of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

- ii) There exists significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. between rural students and urban students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.
- iii) There exists significant difference in the mean scores of Creativity between rural students and



urban students of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

- iv) There exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and Creativity of rural students of secondary school of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.
- v) There exists no significant difference in the mean scores of I.Q. and Creativity of urban students of secondary school of North 24parganas district of West Bengal.

REFERENCES:

- Chaudhary, V. (2004). A Comparative study of urban and rural high school boys and girls in relation to creativity. Indian Psychological Abstracts & Reviews, 11(2),17-19
- [2]. Emanuel Jauk, Mathias Benedek, Beate Dunst, Aljoscha C. Neubauer (2013), The relationship between intelligence and creativity: New support for the threshold hypothesis by means of empirical breakpoint detection, 41(4): 212– 221.
- [3]. Mangal. S.K. (2012), Statistics in Psychology and Education, 2nd Edition, PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi – 110001.
- [4]. Mehrotra, P. N.(2008), Mixed Type Group Test of Intelligence (Verbal & Non-verbal), Moradabad, India.
- [5]. https://www.creativityatwork.com/2014/02/17/ what-is-creativity/
- [6]. https://www.csun.edu/~vcpsy00h/creativity/def ine.htm
- [7]. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Creativity
- [8]. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intelligence_quot ie